

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,824	11/04/2003		Ralph S. Hoefelmeyer	COS-02-008	4440	
25537 VERIZON	7590 08	8/21/2008		EXA	EXAMINER	
PATENT MA	IANAGEMENT GROUP AVELLINO, JOSEPH E				O, JOSEPH E	
1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 500 ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2146		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				08/21/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/699,824		HOEFELMEYER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph E. Avellino	2146		

	Joseph E. Avellino	2146	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will	•	· ·
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but arguments presented are not persuasive (see continuation). 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	on sheet).	condition for allowan	ce because:
13. Other:	FTO/SB/06) Faper NO(S).		
	/Joseph E. Avellino/	nit 2146	

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Appellant argues, in substance, that the combination fails to disclose installing peering software. The Examiner disagrees. As stated in Multerer, the system establishes a peering session (i.e. inherently requiring the use and installation of peering software). In order to establish the peering session after the new OS was installed as described in Ko and Kreller, one of ordinary skill in the art would undersand that the peering software must have been installed before the session could be established. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

Applicant traverses the examiner's assertions of Admitted prior art for various claims and states that the MPEP does not give a time frame for traversal of an Official hotice. The examiner's assertion of official notice or applicant's traverse is not adequate, the examiner should clearly indicate in the next Official action that the converge of the contract of the